

Interview Summary

Application No. **09/421,629**

Applicant(s)

Short et al.

| Examin

Nashaat T. Nashed

Art Unit 1652



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Nashaat T. Nashed	(3)
(2) Lisa A. Hail	
Date of Interview Jun 27, 2002	-
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🛛 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: Claims of record	
Identification of prior art discussed: None	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:	
Examiner suggested the deletion of the word biomolecule would place the application in a condition for allowance. Ms.	
Hail requested few days to contact here clint befere given	an answer.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	
i) lt is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	rate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required